

United States Patent and Trademark Office

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,983	07/21/2003	Zhijian Xie	3-4	5529
7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue			ABRAHAM, FETSUM	
Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/623,983	XIE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fetsum Abraham	2826			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
	or Reply					
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 June 2004.				
·		This action is non-final.				
3)□	,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims	·				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion				
احار.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□						
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
_	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner .				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>7/21/03</u> is/are: a)		by the Evaminer			
. 5/12.3	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	- , ,				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	•	ian priority under 25 LLS C. S	110(a) (d) a= (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	igh phonty under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).			
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ants have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		enlication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
	application from the International Bur		eceived in this National Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a		received			
		iot of the continue depice flot	oodvou.			
	W Dolla					
Attachmen		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation/Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Final rejection

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **connection in an active layer area** between the gate and the source region, and specifically the underlined particular element must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2826

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the interconnection between the source/drain and said shield structure by way of a connection between the gate and the second source/drain region. The connection as worded does not include the main structure that connects the two connecting regions. It does not specify whether that was a wiring inside the active layer/s, by the active layers themselves, or by which active layer taking into consideration that the source, the drain and the channel regions are called as the active layers of a given transistor.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morikawa et al (6,707,102).

A for claims 1,6-8,14,16, the patent discloses the claimed structure in the front page, a power LDMOS composed of source (5), drain (9) and gate (3) on a surface of a substrate between the source and drain regions and a shielding structure (10) that does not overlap with the gate and drain regions and over the offset region of the drain. Clearly, the shielding structure is in electrical contact with the source (5) in similar fashion the claimed regions are connected in the application, in figure 2. Although the

mode of connection between the source and the shielding structure of the claimed invention neither was shown by the drawings nor understood how it could have been established through the active region as indicated above, the structures, absent the confusing part would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to safely conclude that the structures were similar.

As for claims 2-4,15, the shield layer or structure is connected to the offset region of the drain through a conductive trace via a hole and insulated from the substrate (see abstract). As for claim 4, multilayer metallization is common in the specifically when the topology of a structure involves thick interlayer insulations because the structure provides a direct contact possibility from its top most region simplifying indirect contact with a specific node.

As for claim 5, oxides are the most common insulation materials in the art.

As for claims 11,13, the interconnection of the shielding structure through a via signifies the claimed plug in claim 11.

As for claims 12,18, there is a second gate layer on the gate electrode in the prior art and that configuration reduces gate resistance.

As for claim 17, the overall structure suggests a capacitor formed between the gate and the shielding structure attached to the drain but that capacitance is low because of the wide gap between the two elements.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,983 Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Examiner's answer to applicant's arguments

Although the amended phrase presents a different structure from that of the claimed invention, the examiner sees no supporting evidence to the particular connection from figure 2 where the applicant directed the examiner to address the presence of the feature. The connection of the dummy gate (222) has the connection with the source/drain established in similar fashion to that in the prior art: above the active layers and on the substrate. Therefore, the examiner sees no such connection in the figure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915.

um//Xbra/ham